Evaluator Name and Title:

Evaluation Score Summary

	CRITERIA	MAX POINTS
1	Quality of work plan and ability to meet timing requirements to complete project; acceptance of the Terms and Conditions; and compliance with RFP requirements:	44
	Quality of work plan	34
	Business and Functional Requirements	20
	Open framework that allows flexibility / highly configurable by the court	5
	Network Desktop, Application, Architecture, and Security Requirements	5
	Testing, Configuration, and Training Requirements	4
	Capable of project size and complexity within timing requirements	5
	Acceptance of the Terms and Conditions and compliance with RFP requirements	5
2	Experience on similar assignments and credentials of staff to be assigned to the	
	project:	6
	Experience on similar assignments and credentials of staff	3
	California Court references	3

Journal Technologies		Justice Systems, Inc.	
40		29	
33		24	
	20		16
	5		2
	4		2 4 2
	4		2
4		2	
3		3	
4		2	
2		1	
2 2		1	

Total	50
PATPATTERSON, DEO/CTO Evaluator Name and Title:	-
NED E LFRINK SUPERVISOR Evaluator Name and Title:	
Julie Camacho, Court Manager	_
Veri griffith, fr. manager	_
RICHARD CABRAL, DIRECTOR	_1

Signature:

Signature:

Camar

Sighature:

Signature

Signature: